## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8299 Telephone 212.969.3000 Fax 212.969.2900

LOS ANGELES WASHINGTON BOSTON **BOCA RATON NEWARK NEW ORLEANS** PARIS

# MEMO ENDORSED

853 B3

ELLCTRONICALLY FILED

DC UMENT

DATE FILED:

DOC #:

Seth B. Sof Member of Direct Di sschafler JUDGE KAPLAN'S CHAMBERS

November 1, 2006

#### **BY HAND**

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse

Re:

500 Pearl Street, Room 1310 New York, NY 10007-1312

> American Steamship Owners Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association, Inc. v. Alcoa Steamship Co. et al., 04 CV 4309 (LAK)

### Dear Judge Kaplan:

We represent Defendants Keystone, BP, MTL, Kirby Inland Marine (as successor to Hollywood Marine Inc.), American Steamship Company, Hess Corporation, The Cleveland-Cliffs Steamship Company, Ispat, Sea Mobility, Alcoa Steamship Company, Inc., and related entities, and Sabine Towing & Transportation Co., Inc., as defined in their Answers and Counterclaims filed in the above-referenced action. We are in receipt of your October 30, 2006 Order regarding pre-trial filings in the above listed case. We respectfully ask for a clarification of this order in light of the previous filings the parties have submitted pursuant to Your Honor's prior orders, described below.

On March 7, 2006, Your Honor set forth an order regarding pre-trial filings (attached as Ex. A). Pursuant to that order, the parties submitted a joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed by Your Honor's individual practices on April 14, 2006, which was amended for technical corrections on May 18, and both of which were so ordered by Your Honor on April 18 and May 23, 2006 respectively.

Further pursuant to the March 7, 2006 Order:

(a) Plaintiff served on Defendants affidavits containing the direct testimony of its witnesses on May 12, 2006;

#### PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Hon. Lewis A. Kaplan November 1, 2006 Page 2

- (b) the Defendants served on Plaintiff affidavits containing the direct testimony of their witnesses, along with a list of witnesses they intend to subpoena for trial and those of Plaintiff's witnesses they wish to cross examine on July 12, 2006;
- (c) Plaintiff served affidavits containing direct rebuttal testimony on June 24, 2006, along with a list of Defendants' witnesses it wished to cross examine;
- (d) Defendants served on July 30, 2006, a list of Plaintiff's rebuttal witnesses they wished to cross-examine;
- (e) trial memoranda were served by both Plaintiff and Defendants on July 7, 2006; and
- (f) on July 7, 2006, the items listed in (a)-(e) above were filed along with copies of all exhibits and deposition designations listed in the Joint Pre-trial Order pursuant to the Court's March 7, 2006 Order.

In view of the foregoing submissions, we respectfully ask for clarification as to whether the court requires further filings as listed in Items 1-6 of its October 30, 2006 order. If no further filings are needed, Defendants are prepared to proceed to trial at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully yours,

Soth Schaffer Lab
Seth B. Schaffer

MEMO ENDORSED

cc: All Counsel of Record

Encl.

The order deted 10/26/06 was befored in error

and is vacated

NAPIAN, USD.